

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/611,291 | 06/30/2003 | R. Hugo Patterson | 6368P002 | 2915 |
| 8791 7590 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALF, CA 94085-4040 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | STACE, BRENT S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2161 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/28/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/611.291 PATTERSON, R. HUGO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit BRENT STACE 2161 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) BRENT STACE. (3) (2) Matthew Hindman. (4)____. Date of Interview: 24 April 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 8. Identification of prior art discussed: 6.249,792 (Zwilling) and 5.829,005 (Senator). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Discussed Claim 8's circular log and how the applicants feel that the Senator reference is not readily combinable with the Zwilling reference (Applicant argues that Senator teaches away from using Zwilling). Discussed further amendments to the claims to aid in furthering prosecution. Further amendments may be sent to the examiner in the future for further review. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| Apu Mofiz/ SPE, Art Unit 2161 | Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and 1 tracemark Unice
PTOL_413 (Rev. U4-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080424